

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/695,332	10/25/2000	Michael O. Okoroafor	1555P1	1488
24959	7590 03/10/2004		EXAMINER	
PPG INDUSTRIES INC INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPT			SERGENT, RABON A	
ONE PPG PI	LACE		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
PITTSBURG	6H, PA 15272		1711	-
			DATE MAILED: 03/10/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/695,332	OKOROAFOR ET AL.					
,, , ,	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Rabon Sergent	1711	•				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 11 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the contract of the con	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	y to a ition in				
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 4_months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17 (a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approper of the fee. The appropriation of the fee. The final the fina	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	-						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
 (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note because of the complex of they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or 	pelow);		mplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	nd a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claim	s				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		, ,					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		parate, timely filed	amendment				
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>Se</u>		dered but does NO	T place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-119</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	····································					
10 ☐ Other:							

Rabon Sergaht Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1711

Continuation of 2.: The proposed amendment, if entered, would raise an issue under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, because one could not determine within the amended dependent claims which monomer from the independent claims is being referred to.

Continuation of 5.: The rejection has been maintained, because applicants' response is based upon an amendment that will not be entered.

PRIMARY EXAMINER